

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

No. CR 14-00175 WHA

v.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
COMPANY,

Defendant.

**REQUEST FOR MONITOR TO
RESPOND RE COMPLIANCE AND
TO PG&E'S NOVEMBER 3
RESPONSE REGARDING MONITOR
LETTER**

On October 16, 2020, the Court received a letter from the federal monitor addressing PG&E's compliance with its vegetation management plan. PG&E submitted its response November 3, 2020. The Court requests a response from the monitor addressing the following topics:

1. To what extent is PG&E currently (and since the monitor's last update to the Court) in compliance, and to what extent is it not in compliance, with its conditions of probation, especially with respect to:

- a) Following Sections 4292 and 4293 of the California Public Resources Code, CPUC General Order 95, and FERC FAC-003-4;
- b) Following PG&E's Wildlife Mitigation Plan and its requirements regarding enhanced vegetation management;


c) Requirement that PG&E maintain “traceable, verifiable, accurate, and complete records of its vegetation management efforts” (Dkt. 1040); and

d) Requirement that PG&E employ pre-inspectors to identify trees and limbs out of compliance with the laws listed in (a) and post-inspectors to spot-check the work of those contracted to remove trees and limbs that threaten lines?

2. The monitor shall as well please comment on PG&E's response to the original monitor letter.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 30, 2020.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE